Tuesday, January 18, 2011 7:58 PM

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wQylqaCl8Zo&t=178s

Summary

Sunday, January 11, 2009 3:52 PM

Convex sets Qx1+ (1-0)>62 EC DSQSI Key examples - Affine sets, Subspaces - Balls, ellipsvids - hyperplanes, half-spaces - polyhedra Operations that preserve convexity - Intersections - Affine mappings Perspectives

Convex functions

Sunday, January 11, 2009 3:55 PM

A function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if its domain dom f is a convex set and $f\left(0 \times + (1 - 0) \mathcal{F} \right) \leq 0 f(x) + (1 - 0) f(y) \quad (*)$ for all $x, y \in dim f$, $\partial \leq \partial \leq 1$. Geometrically, this means that the line segment between $(x, f(x)) \ge (y, f(y))$, which is the chord from $x \ne y$, lies above the graph of f. f(0x+(1-0)y)Note that by this definition, an affine function is due convex.

х >Not Convex Convex & Affine Convex k Crivext Increasing decreasing f is concave if -f is convex 1 ゟ ι Cave Convex Concare \sim

Affine functions are both annex & concave. A function is strictly convex if strict inequality holds in (*) whenever xty & 0<0<1. - does not contain any affine parts.

Relationships

Sunday, January 11, 2009 4:12 PM

Relationship between convex functions & convex sets Define the epigraph of a function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $epi f = \left| (x, t) \right| x \in dom f, t = f(x)$ A function is convex if and only if its epigraph is a convex set. convex set. function f Provt: By definition - This will be useful in proving strong duality (Q) Is the epigraph of a concave function a convex set?

Define the swb-level set $\binom{2}{2}$ $C = \{x \in dom f \mid f(z) \in z\}$ If f is a convex function, then CL is a convex set for any X Proof: By definition. - This is actually the main convex sets that we will encounter later. (Q) Is the sublevel set of a concare function a convex set? $- \left\{ x \left(f(x) \right)^{2} \right\}$ (Is the converse true? In other words, if the sublevel set a function f is convex for any a, then is the function f convex? (A) No. ~f(x)

VV f(x) \rightarrow_{χ} (Exercise) (3)

Restriction to a line

Sunday, January 11, 2009 4:23 PM

A function is convex if and only if it is convex when restricted to any line that intersects its domain f is convex () for all oct domf and all v g(t)= f(x+tv) is convex on it/ x+tv & dom f z domt This is not surprising because, to check convexity, we only need to check on storight lines.

A function is convex if, for any two prints, the chord is above the function. But the chord is also above that part of the function restricted to the same line. This is useful because we can reduce the problem of checking convexity of any function to that of checking convexity of functions of one variable. A function f(x, y) is convex w.r.t. each variable (x or y), is f a convex function of x and y? A No. - Example f(x, y) = xy. - product of convex functions may not be convex. (40)

Conditions for convexity

Sunday, January 11, 2009 4:31 PM

Often it is not easy to varify convexity of a function using the definition directly. The following anditions will be handy. - First-order conditions the following of following the following of following that preserves convexity. O First-order condition. If f is differentiable in its domain domf, then f is convex if and only if dom f is a convex set and $f(y) \ge f(x) + \left[of(x) \right]' (y-x)$ (为 for all x, y E dom f. f is strictly convex if and only if is convex and dom f $f(y) > f(x) + [\nabla f(x)]^{T}(y - x)$ for all y=x, x, g G domf.

Note that $f(x) + (\nabla f(x))^T (y - x)$ defines a hyperplane (of the variable y) tangent to $f(x) = \alpha f(x)$. $\int f(x) + (\partial f(x))^{T}(y-x)$ Hence, the condition states that the rest of the function must be above the hyperplane. - "supporting hyperplane". A similar result holds for concave functions. $f(y) + (\nabla f(y))^T (y - y).$ (56)

Proof of first-order condition

Monday, January 12, 2009 2:42 PM

Sketch: Let us focus on the one-dimensional case $f: R \rightarrow R$. "=>" direction: If f is convex, then (*, holds. To see this, let $g(t) = \frac{f(x + t(y-x)) - f(x)}{t \cdot (y-x)}, \quad 0 < t \le 1.$ Note that g(t) can be viewed as an approximation of the derivative $f'(\alpha)$. +(y) +(x+t(y-x))If t=1, the retio is $+(x)+(v+(v)^{T}(y-x))$ $g(1) = \frac{f(3) - f(x)}{1 - x}$ F(2) $2f + \rightarrow 0$ $S(t) \rightarrow f'(x).$ Coraphically, it is intritive that, as the $S(+) \downarrow + (x)$ - can be shown through convexity For us, in order to show that . f(y) - f(x)y - x - 2 - f(x)

; t suffices to show that $g(t) \leq g(t)$ for all t i.e. f(x + t(y - x)) - f(x) = f(y) - f(x)t(y - x) = y - x(homerork). "E" direction: 2f (*) holds, fis convex. For any x, y, let z = 0x + (1-0)yx z yf(s)(homework) (What if the function is not differentiable? - From the proof in the "E" direction, the only property that we need is that there exists some vector of for every X

 $f(y) \ge f(x) + d^{T}(y-x)$ for all y. \uparrow f(x) = d f(x) = d f(x) = d f(x) = d- first - order condition is useful when the second-order derivative is not easy to derive - e.j. in some of the proofs for duality.