

- Deterministic SSP: Principle of Optimality:

$$J_k(i) = \min_{j=1,2,\dots,N} \{ a_{ij} + J_{k+1}(j) \}$$

- Finite horizon stochastic SP

$$J_k(x_k) = \min_{u_k \in U_k(x_k)} \mathbb{E}_{\omega_k} \left[g_k(x_k, u_k, \omega_k) + J_{k+1}(f_k(x_k, u_k, \omega_k)) \right]$$

- Infinite-horizon SSP

$$J^*(i) = \min_u \left\{ f(i, u) + \sum_j P_{ij}(u) J^*(j) \right\}$$

- Discounted problems

- Using the SSP mapping

$$J^*(i) = \min_u \left\{ f(i, u) + \sum_j \underbrace{\alpha P_{ij}(u)}_{\substack{\text{transition} \\ \text{probability} \\ \text{in SSP}}} J^*(j) \right\}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow J^*(i) = \min_u \left\{ f(i, u) + \alpha \sum_j P_{ij}(u) J^*(j) \right\}$$

\uparrow future cost is discounted \uparrow future cost from i

by α / -

- Another way to look at the Bellman Equation for discounted problem

$$\begin{aligned} J(i) &= \min_{u_i} \left[g(i, u_i) + \alpha g(j, u_j) + \alpha^2 g(j', u_{j'}) + \dots \right] \\ &= \min_{u_i} \left[g(i, u_i) + \alpha \cdot \underbrace{\min_{u_j} \left[g(j, u_j) + \alpha g(j', u_{j'}) + \dots \right]}_{J(j)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Value iteration versus policy iteration

Sunday, March 29, 2015 9:46 AM

- Computationally, how to find the optimal policy μ^* ?

① Directly solve the Bellman's Equation

- Usually hard for large problems

② Value Iteration

- Take any initial values $J_0(i)$

- Run the DP iteration

$$J_{k+1}(i) = \min_{\mu} \left[g(i, \mu) + \sum_{j=1}^n P_{ij}(\mu) J_k(j) \right]$$

- Generally requires an infinite number of iterations

- Same as saying that finite-horizon payoff approaches the infinite-horizon payoff

- At the speed of ρ^k .

③ Policy Iteration

- Start with any stationary policy μ^0 .

- Given μ^k , compute its payoff by solving

$$J(i) = f(i, \mu^k(i)) + \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij}(\mu^k(i)) J(j)$$

- called "policy evaluation"

- A linear program of n variables

- Perform "policy-improvement"

$$\mu^{k+1}(i) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mu} \left[f(i, \mu) + \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij}(\mu) J_{\mu^k}(j) \right]$$

- Stop if $\mu^{k+1} = \mu^k$

- Can show that

$$J_{\mu^{k+1}}(i) \leq J_{\mu^k}(i) \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathcal{K}$$

\Rightarrow Policy values always improve

- For finite-state systems, the total number of possible policies is finite

\Rightarrow must terminate after a finite number of iterations.

- $\mu^{k+1} = \mu^k$ satisfies Bellman's Equation

\Rightarrow optimal.

Contraction mapping

Tuesday, April 11, 2023 10:06 AM

- For discounted-cost problems (or positive termination prob. in every step), value iteration converges geometrically fast because we can show that the Bellman operator is a contraction mapping

$$J(i), i=1, \dots, n$$

$$\mapsto \min_u \left\{ g(i, u) + \alpha \sum_j P_{ij}(u) J(j) \right\}$$

- Denote this mapping by B

$$\text{- let } \vec{v} = [J(i)]_{i=1, \dots, n}$$

$$\vec{v} \mapsto B(\vec{v})$$

- To see why B is a contraction, compare $B(\vec{v}_1)$ & $B(\vec{v}_2)$

- We can show that

$$\|B(\vec{v}_1) - B(\vec{v}_2)\|_\infty \leq \alpha \|\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2\|_\infty$$

$$\text{- Suppose } \|\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2\|_\infty = \Delta$$

$$\Rightarrow |J_1(i) - J_2(i)| \leq \Delta \text{ for all } i$$

- Thus, for every u

$$\left| \left[g(i, u) + \alpha \sum_j P_{ij}(u) J_1(j) \right] \right.$$

$$\left| \left[g(i, u) + \alpha \sum_j P_{ij}(u) J_1(j) \right] - \left[g(i, u) + \alpha \sum_j P_{ij}(u) J_2(j) \right] \right|$$

$$= \alpha \left| \sum_j P_{ij}(u) [J_1(j) - J_2(j)] \right|$$

$$\leq \alpha \Delta$$

$$\Rightarrow \|B(\vec{v}_1) - B(\vec{v}_2)\|_\infty \leq \alpha \Delta$$

- Bertsekas P416
- If we start from any vector

$$J_0 = (J_0(1), J_0(2), \dots, J_0(n))$$

Such that

$$J_0(i) \leq \min_u g(i, u) + \sum_{j=1}^n P_{ij}(u) J_0(j), \quad \text{for all } i; \quad (*)$$

- Apply the DP iteration

$$J_{k+1}(i) = \min_u g(i, u) + \sum_{j=1}^n P_{ij}(u) J_k(j)$$

- We have seen that $J_k(i) \rightarrow J^*(i)$
- Further, we can show that $J_k(i) \leq J_{k+1}(i)$ for all k & i .
 - Trivially hold for $k=0$
 - Induction by k .
- This implies that

$$J_0(i) \leq J^*(i)$$

for all $J_0(i)$ that satisfies (*)

- In other words, $(J^*(1), \dots, J^*(n))$ is component-wise larger than any other vector $(J_0(1), \dots, J_0(n))$ that satisfies (*)

- We can then conclude that $(J^*(1) \dots J^*(n))$ must be the solution to the following linear program:

$$\max \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i J(i) \quad (\beta_i > 0)$$

$$\text{sub to } J(i) \leq g(i, u) + \sum_j P_{ij}(u) J(j) \\ \text{for all } u, i.$$

- # of variables = # of states n
 - which is smaller compared to the LP using $y_{s,a}^k$.
- # of constraints: $n \times A$.